

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/835,376

Filing Date: April 17, 2001

Applicant: David A. JABLOW

Group Art Unit: 2155

Examiner: Shawki S. Ismail

Title: METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR PROVIDING
PERSONALIZED NOTIFICATION

Attorney Docket: 129250-000902/US

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL (2nd revision)

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

November 26, 2008

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL.....	1
I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	1
II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	1
III. STATUS OF CLAIMS	1
IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS.....	1
V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER.....	2
(i). Overview of the Subject Matter of the Independent Claims.....	2
(ii). The Remainder of the Specification Also Supports the Claims.....	7
VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL.....	8
VII. ARGUMENTS.....	8
A. The Section 103 Rejections.....	8
VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX.....	11
IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX.....	16
X. RELATED PROCEEDING APPENDIX.....	16

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST:

The real party in interest in this appeal is Lucent Technologies Inc. Assignment of the application was submitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and recorded at Reel 011980, Frame 0919.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES:

There are no known appeals or interferences that will affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this Appeal.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS:

Claims 1-5, 8-24, 27-39 and 42-52 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 20 and 35 written in independent form.

Claims 1-5, 8-24, 27-39 and 42-52 were finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Claims 1-5, 8-24, 27-39 and 42-52 are being appealed.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS:

A Request for Reconsideration ("Request") was filed on December 4, 2006. In an Advisory Action dated December 20, 2006, the Examiner stated that the Request was considered but did not place the application in condition for allowance. Further, the Examiner stated that the claim amendments contained in the Request would not be entered. Though Appellant disagrees with the Examiner's decision he has chosen to proceed with the instant appeal without relying upon the amendments made in the Request.

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER:

(i). Overview of the Subject Matter of the Independent Claims

The present invention is directed at personalized notifications. In particular, such notifications concern an event a user (of a claimed notification system or method) is participating in.

By way of background, millions of people now use some type of on-line network, such as the Internet, every day. Of these people, most, if not all, also have the need to be reminded or notified of some event. Typically, this event will occur periodically (e.g., daily, monthly, or even hourly). For example, millions of people play government/state-sponsored lotteries on a weekly or daily basis. Some people play the identical number day after day. To date, the most common method of notifying an individual that he or she has won a lottery requires the individual to take some action to determine the results of the lottery (e.g., by buying a newspaper, watching a television, calling a toll-free number or returning to the place where she bought a lottery ticket). None of these methods are tailored to provide results to an individual. Rather, they are designed to provide the same information to a large number of people. In sum, they do not provide a "personalized" way of notifying an individual.

Lotteries are just one example of a periodic activity or event that people may engage in which requires some kind of subsequent notification.

Accordingly, it is a desire of the present invention to provide methods and systems for providing personalized notification to individuals.

More specifically, in accordance with the present invention there are provided methods and systems for providing personalized notification concerning an "event". One such event is a lottery. Others are: sports or entertainment events, an educational event or an exam, for example.

In each case, a network controller is adapted to receive personal information from a user and administrative information from an administrator

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

responsible for the event. The personal information comprises many elements, one element being the one or more methods of notification preferred by a user.

At an appropriate point in time the controller is adapted to compare the administrative information (e.g., winning lottery number) to the personal information (e.g., lottery numbers selected by the user) or vice-versa. If a match occurs, the controller is adapted to send a personalized notification to the user according to one of the preferred methods of notification (e.g., by email).

In one embodiment of the present invention, a user network access unit is adapted to receive instructions from a user. More specifically, the unit is adapted to receive instructions directing it to initiate a link with controller. For example, the user may input the address of a website sponsored by a state lottery commission, such as "www.didiwin.com". Thereafter, the unit is adapted to create a link with controller. In response, controller is adapted to send content and formatting information associated with the lottery's website to the user network access unit. Upon receiving the content and formatting information, the unit is adapted to display the website to the user. Depending on the sophistication of the website, it may take one or more additional steps for the user to maneuver from page to page within the website to find an input screen. Once such an input screen is found, the user begins to input personal information related to an event, in this case a lottery, she wishes to participate in.

The user may use an event input display to input personal, event-related information. Once the display is shown to the user, the user may proceed in any number of different sequences to input the necessary information. For example, in the case of a lottery the user first enters the state or government entity which is sponsoring the lottery via a graphical user interface (e.g., a mouse, keyboard and associated software) (not shown). The display may be adapted to allow a user to select a state or the like from a drop down list of states. Next, the user selects the type of lottery or otherwise identifies the lottery she wishes to

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

participate in, or monitor the results of, (collectively referred to as "participate in"). In an illustrative embodiment of the present invention, the user inputs a number. This number represents a lottery number.

Further, the user may input one or more preferred notification methods. For example, the user may decide that she prefers to be contacted via her daytime phone, nighttime phone, facsimile number (i.e., telephone and/or facsimile number may be a conventional number, wireless number, or a wireless Internet number), or email address to name just a few. This (or these) preferred method(s) of notification will be used to provide a personalized notification to the user if the lottery number she has entered at location matches the winning lottery number (or vice-versa) and/or to notify the user of the winning lottery number even if she has not won.

Alternatively, the user may indicate which method of notification which should be used first to notify her by entering or otherwise indicating a ranking (e.g., "1" equals first preferred method, "2" equals second preferred method, etc ...). In yet another embodiment, the user may indicate which method may be used by placing a "check" next to a given location in the display.

Collectively, all of the information entered by the user can be referred to as personal information.

In one embodiment of the present invention, each time the user inputs information at a specific location and activates an "enter/send" or the like, the user network access unit is adapted to send this information to the controller.

Upon receiving the information from the user, the controller is adapted to send this information to a database which is adapted to store the information.

If a user wishes to participate in additional lotteries or events she need only repeat the sequence described above. This continues until the user has input information related to each event of interest to her. After the user is done entering such information she may exit the system.

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

At some point the event of interest occurs, in this case, a lottery. As envisioned by the present invention, the results of this event or information related to the event, is transmitted to the controller by an "administrator".

At some point a determination must be made as to whether or not a winning number matches the lottery number entered by a user.

In an illustrative embodiment of the present invention, the controller is adapted to select the information supplied by an administrator stored in a second database and to compare it to the information associated with a given user from the user's database. If the controller determines that a match has occurred, or another relevant relationship exists, then the controller may be adapted to generate a personalized message indicating that a match has occurred or a relationship exists. For example, the controller is adapted to generate a message that a user has won the lottery if the winning number matches the user's lottery number.

In yet a further embodiment of the present invention, the controller is adapted to transmit or otherwise send this personalized message to the user network access unit to personally notify the user via one or more of the preferred methods input by the user.

In the event the user has elected to participate in more than one event the controller is adapted to repeat the steps just mentioned, each time forwarding a personalized message to the user according to her preferred methods on detecting the existence of a match or another relationship.

Independent claim 1 reads as follows (specification citations in parenthesis):

1. A system for providing personalized notification comprising: a controller adapted to compare personal information and administrative information related to an event a user is participating in (paragraphs [0005] through [0009], [0043], [0047]) and further adapted to send a personalized notification (paragraphs [0020], [0044]), using a user's preferred method of notification (paragraphs [0019], [0026], [0044]), to the

user concerning the user's participation in the event (paragraphs [0044], [0045]).

For example, in one or more of the paragraphs cited above it is explained that the claimed invention is directed at, among other things, sending a personalized notification concerning an event a user *is participating in* using the user's preferred method of notification. In particular, paragraph [0034] discusses the fact that an event is occurring while paragraphs [0043] through [0048] discuss the personalized notification process.

20. A method for providing personalized notification comprising:

comparing personal information and administrative information related to an event a user is participating in (paragraphs [0005] through [0009], [0043], [0047]); and

sending a personalized notification (paragraphs [0020], [0044]), **using a user's preferred method of notification** (paragraphs [0019], [0026], [0044]), **to the user concerning the user's participation in the event** (paragraphs [0044], [0045]).

(see specification, paragraphs [0005] through [0009], [0019], [0020], [0026], [0029] through [0035], and [0042] through [0045], and [0050] for example).

For example, in one or more of the paragraphs cited above it is explained that the claimed invention is directed at, among other things, sending a personalized notification concerning an event a user *is participating in* using the user's preferred method of notification. In particular, paragraph [0034] discusses the fact that an event is occurring while paragraphs [0043] through [0048] discuss the personalized notification process.

35. A programmed medium adapted to compare personal information and administrative information related to an event a user is participating in (paragraphs [0005] through [0009], [0043], [0047]) **and further adapted to send a personalized notification** (paragraphs [0020], [0044]), **using a user's preferred method of notification** (paragraphs [0019], [0026], [0044]), **to the user concerning the user's participation in the event** (paragraphs [0044], [0045]).

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

(see specification, paragraphs [0005] through [0009], [0019], [0020], [0026], [0029] through [0035], and [0042] through [0045], [0049] and [0050] for example).

For example, in one or more of the paragraphs cited above it is explained that the claimed invention is directed at, among other things, sending a personalized notification concerning an event a user *is participating in* using the user's preferred method of notification. In particular, paragraph [0034] discusses the fact that an event is occurring while paragraphs [0043] through [0048] discuss the personalized notification process. Further, paragraph [0049] it is explained that a programmed medium may be used to carry out features and functions of the invention.

In order to make the overview set forth above concise the disclosure that has been included, or referred to, above only represents a portion of the total disclosure set forth in the Specification that supports the independent claims.

(ii). The Remainder of the Specification Also Supports the Claims

The Appellant notes that there may be additional disclosure in the Specification that also supports the independent and dependent claims. Further, by referring to the disclosure above the Appellant does not represent that this is the only evidence that supports the independent claims nor does Appellant necessarily represent that this disclosure can be used to fully interpret the claims of the present invention. Instead, this disclosure is an overview of the claimed subject matter.

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL:

Appellant seeks the Board's review and reversal of the rejection of claims 1-5, 8-24, 27-39 and 42-52 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on a combination of U.S. Patent No. 6,454,650 to Aronin ('Aronin") and U.S. Patent No. 6,144,942 to Ruckdashel ("Ruckdashel").

VII. ARGUMENTS:

A. The Section 103 Rejections

Claims 1-5, 8-24, 27-39 and 42-52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on a combination of Aronin and Ruckdashel. Appellant disagrees for at least the following reasons.

As the Examiner admits, Aronin does not disclose the sending of a personalized notification, using a user's preferred method of notification, to a user concerning the user's participation in an event as in the claims of the present invention. To make up for this deficiency the Examiner relies upon Ruckdashel.

Ruckdashel, however, does not disclose or suggest the sending of a notification using a user's preferred method of notification concerning an event the user *is participating in*. Ruckdashel is similar to the references that the Examiner has previously asserted and withdrawn in that it pertains to events a user may participate in.

For example, Ruckdashel states that a notification may be sent "to notify one of the users....of upcoming events on their schedules" (column 4, lines 14-15), or sent "as the specified appointment approaches" (column 5, line 34).

As the Appellant has stated before, the present claims are not directed to an event a user is interested in or may participate in. Instead, the present claims are directed to events a user is "participating in" and related notifications.

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

Further, Appellant has pointed out (and reiterates now) that the claims include a notification that is: (1) sent via a preferred method of notification; and (2) is related to an event a user is participating in. While Ruckdashel may disclose the former, it does not disclose or suggest the latter.

On page 5 of the Final Office Action, under the heading "Response to Arguments" the Examiner states that "the claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation" as a rationale for maintaining the pending rejections. Further, the Examiner states that because the claims do not "specify their participation level or type of participation" the fact "that the user or individual is scheduled to partake in an event and is receiving notification...[the user] is by definition a participant in the event". Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Notwithstanding the Examiner's position that the claims are given their broadest possible interpretation, such an interpretation must be consistent with the meaning of the words in the specification and cannot distort the meaning of the words in a claim.

Though it is not altogether clear to the Appellant what the Examiner's exact position is, it appears that the Examiner is equating the reception of a notification about an event a user is scheduled to participate in with a notification concerning an event a user is participating in. However, a notification in and of itself is not participation. Further, the claims use the present, active tense, "is participating in" while Ruckdashel is aimed at a user's future, planned participation.

Accordingly, Appellant respectfully submits that claims 1-5, 8-24, 27-39 and 42-52 would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present application was filed based on the disclosures of Aronin and Ruckdashel.

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

Conclusion:

Appellant respectfully requests that the members of the Board reverse the decision of the Examiner and allow claims 1-5, 8-24, 27-39 and 42-52.

The Commissioner is authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3777 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

Capitol Patent & Trademark Law Firm, PLLC

By: /John E. Curtin/

John E. Curtin, Reg. No. 37,602

P.O. Box 1995
Vienna, VA 22183
(703)266-3330

VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A system for providing personalized notification comprising:
a controller adapted to compare personal information and administrative information related to an event a user is participating in and further adapted to send a personalized notification, using a user's preferred method of notification, to the user concerning the user's participation in the event.
2. The system as in claim 1 wherein the event is a lottery.
3. The system as in claim 1 wherein the event is a sports or entertainment event.
4. The system as in claim 1 wherein the event is an educational event.
5. The system as in claim 1 wherein the event is an exam.
6. (Cancelled)
7. (Cancelled)
8. The system as in claim 1 wherein the controller is further adapted to send the notification via email.
9. The system as in claim 1 wherein the controller is further adapted to send the notification via a daytime telephone number.

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

10. The system as in claim 1 wherein the controller is further adapted to send the notification via a nighttime telephone number.

11. The system as in claim 1 wherein the controller is further adapted to send the notification via facsimile.

12. The system as in claim 1 wherein the controller comprises a server.

13. The system as in claim 1 wherein the controller comprises an Internet server.

14. The system as in claim 1 further comprising a user database adapted to store the personal information.

15. The system as in claim 1 further comprising an administrative database adapted to store the administrative information.

16. The system as in claim 1 further comprising a user network access unit adapted to send the personal information to the controller.

17. The system as in claim 1 further comprising an administrative network access unit adapted to send the administrative information to the controller.

18. The system as in claim 1 wherein the personal information comprises a lottery number.

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

19. The system as in claim 1 wherein the administrative information comprises a winning lottery number.

20. A method for providing personalized notification comprising:
comparing personal information and administrative information related to an event a user is participating in; and
sending a personalized notification, using a user's preferred method of notification, to the user concerning the user's participation in the event.

21. The method as in claim 20 wherein the event is a lottery.

22. The method as in claim 20 wherein the event is a sports or entertainment event.

23. The method as in claim 20 wherein the event is an educational event.

24. The method as in claim 20 wherein the event is an exam.

25. (Cancelled).

26. (Cancelled).

27. The method as in claim 20 further comprising sending the notification via email.

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

28. The method as in claim 20 further comprising sending the notification via a daytime telephone number.

29. The method as in claim 20 further comprising sending the notification via a nighttime telephone number.

30. The method as in claim 20 further comprising sending the notification via facsimile.

31. The method as in claim 20 further comprising storing the personal information.

32. The method as in claim 20 further comprising storing the administrative information.

33. The method as in claim 20 wherein the personal information comprises a lottery number.

34. The method as in claim 20 wherein the administrative information comprises a winning lottery number.

35. A programmed medium adapted to compare personal information and administrative information related to an event a user is participating in and further adapted to send a personalized notification, using a user's preferred method of notification, to the user concerning the user's participation in the event.

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

36. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the event is a lottery.

37. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the event is a sports or entertainment event.

38. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the event is an educational event.

39. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the event is an exam.

40. (Cancelled).

41. (Cancelled).

42. The programmed medium as in claim 35 further adapted to send the notification via email.

43. The programmed medium as in claim 35 further adapted to send the notification via a daytime telephone number.

44. The programmed medium as in claim 35 further adapted to send the notification via a nighttime telephone number.

45. The programmed medium as in claim 35 further adapted to send the notification via facsimile.

APPELLANT'S BRIEF ON APPEAL
U.S. Application No.: 09/835,376
Atty. Docket: 129250-000902/US

46. The programmed medium as in claim 35 further adapted to store the personal information.

47. The programmed medium as in claim 35 further adapted to store the administrative information.

48. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the personal information comprises a lottery number.

49. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the administrative information comprises a winning lottery number.

50. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the medium comprises a CD.

51. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the medium comprises a magnetic storage device.

52. The programmed medium as in claim 35 wherein the medium comprises a digital storage device.

IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.